

Equality Impact Assessment [version 2.9]

Title: Review of Special Guardianship Order Arrangements		
Budget Proposal CF6		
Directorate: People	Lead Officer name: Ann James	
Service Area: Children and Families and Safer	Lead Officer role: Director of Children's	
Communities	Services	

Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of an Equality Impact Assessment is to assist decision makers in understanding the impact of proposals as part of their duties under the Equality Act 2010. Detailed guidance to support completion can be found here Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) (sharepoint.com).

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the process by someone with a good knowledge of the proposal and service area, and sufficient influence over the proposal. It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the equality impact assessment. Please contact the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> early for advice and feedback.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Describe who it is aimed at and the intended aims / outcomes. Where known also summarise the key actions you plan to undertake. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms. Equality Impact Assessments are viewed by a wide range of people including decision-makers and the wider public.

Budget context:

Bristol City Council is required by law to set a balanced budget however we face a large potential gap in our core budget next year. With such a significant challenge the budget cannot be balanced without additional funding, making greater efficiencies (doing the same for less money) or by transforming the way we do things.

The Council has defined statutory responsibilities, but deliver against a far broader agenda, providing universal services benefiting the whole community, and targeted services aimed at individuals, communities with particular needs, and businesses – administered by our workforce, city partners, stakeholder organisations and commissioned services.

The COVID-19 pandemic has been far reaching, with a lasting impact on our people and our economy. Our finances are stretched to the limit, and the UK Government has stopped funding local COVID-19 responses. Up and down the country councils are facing this funding crisis with less money to keep services going. This is because more money is needed to: help citizens with the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic; support low-income households and local businesses in need of support post-COVID-19; support more people than ever with mental health and social care services; and meet the rising need and cost of home to school transport for children with special educational needs and disabilities (SEND) etc. At the same time, the pandemic saw us receive less income from business rates, commercial rentals, parking, sports facilities, and our museums, shops and cafes.

The <u>Medium Term Financial Plan</u> underpins the Council's financial planning process and outlines the approach we will take to meet the challenges presented by focusing primarily on delivering efficiencies, service re-design programmes which cut across directorate boundaries, and increasing external income and Invest to Save revenue.

This proposal:

The use of Special Guardianship Orders (SGO) offers children security, stability, and permanence, often within their birth family when they cannot live with their birth parents and adoption is not the right plan. Once a Special Guardianship Order is made the Special Guardian will be responsible for the child's care until they are 18 and can make day to day decisions about the child's life including their education and health without the need to consult their parents or the Local Authority. When a Special Guardianship Order is made the child is no longer considered a child in care and no longer requires a social worker. The use of Special Guardianship has grown significantly over the past 10 years, there are currently around 565 children being cared for by Special Guardians across the city, many of whom are very settled and are progressing with no input from social care.

Special Guardians can access support from the Council through the Special Guardianship Team and this can be through advice and training as well as financial support. Special Guardians can also access more specialist support for the children they care for via the Adoption Support Fund.

This proposal will review and seek to improve the arrangements and ensure that the service offer is aligned with the regulations and national guidance. Initial scoping has found that this review will see a more equitable support offer for this group of carers and release savings.

1.2 Who will the proposal have the potential to affect?

Bristol City Council workforce	Service users	In the wider community
Commissioned services	□ City partners / Stakeholder organisations	
Additional comments:		

1.3 Will the proposal have an equality impact?

Could the proposal affect access levels of representation or participation in a service, or does it have the potential to change e.g. quality of life: health, education, or standard of living etc.?

If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no equality impact, then skip steps 2-4 and request review by Equality and Inclusion Team.

If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment, or if you plan to complete the assessment at a later stage please state this clearly here and request review by the Equality and Inclusion Team.

Yes I No [please select]

Step 2: What information do we have?

2.1 What data or evidence is there which tells us who is, or could be affected?

Please use this section to demonstrate an understanding of who could be affected by the proposal. Include general population data where appropriate, and information about people who will be affected with particular reference to protected and other relevant characteristics: <u>https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/measuring-equalities-success</u>.

Use one row for each evidence source and say which characteristic(s) it relates to. You can include a mix of qualitative and quantitative data e.g. from national or local research, available data or previous consultations and engagement activities.

Outline whether there is any over or under representation of equality groups within relevant services - don't forget to benchmark to the local population where appropriate. Links to available data and reports are here <u>Data, statistics</u> <u>and intelligence (sharepoint.com)</u>. See also: <u>Bristol Open Data (Quality of Life, Census etc.)</u>; <u>Joint Strategic Needs</u> <u>Assessment (JSNA)</u>; <u>Ward Statistical Profiles.</u>

For workforce / management of change proposals you will need to look at the diversity of the affected teams using available evidence such as <u>HR Analytics: Power BI Reports (sharepoint.com)</u> which shows the diversity profile of council teams and service areas. Identify any over or under-representation compared with Bristol economically active citizens for different characteristics. Additional sources of useful workforce evidence include the <u>Employee</u> <u>Staff Survey Report</u> and <u>Stress Risk Assessment Form</u>

Data / Evidence Source	Summary of what this tells us
[Include a reference where known]	
Census 2011 and Census 2021	The Census details the demographic profile of Bristol. The first results of the 2021 census will not be
2011 Census Key Statistics About Equalities	available until Spring 2022, so demographic data is still
<u>Communities</u>	informed by 2011 census and other population related documents (listed below)
The population of Bristol	Updated annually. The report brings together statistics on the current estimated population of Bristol, recent trends in population, future projections and looks at the key characteristics of the people living in Bristol.
New wards: data profiles	The Ward Profiles provide a range of data-sets, including Population, Life Expectancy, health and
Ward Profiles - Power BI tool	education disparities etc. for each of Bristol's electoral wards.
Bristol Quality of Life survey 2020/21 final report	The Quality of Life (QoL) survey is an annual randomised sample survey of the Bristol population,
Quality of Life 2020-21 — Open Data Bristol	mailed to 33,000 households (with online & paper options), and some additional targeting to boost numbers from low responding groups. In brief, the 2020 QoL survey indicated that inequality and deprivation continue to affect people's experience in almost every element measured by the survey.
	The Open Data 'Equalities View' tool shows at a glance the disparities for each Quality of Life indicator based on people's characteristics and circumstances including protected characteristics, caring responsibility, tenancy, education level, and deprivation.
<u>Citizens' Assembly</u>	The citizens' assembly is composed of 60 randomly selected participants. The group reflects as far as possible the diversity of the population in terms of age, sex, ethnicity, disability, employment status, and geographical location. Bristol Citizens' Assembly was part of a process created by the city of Bristol to gather public input to inform its COVID-19 recovery plan.
Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA)	The Joint Strategic Needs Assessment reports on the health and wellbeing needs of the people of Bristol. It brings together detailed information on local health and wellbeing needs and looks ahead at emerging challenges and projected future needs. The JSNA is

2.2 Do you currently monitor relevant activity by the following protected characteristics?

🖾 Age	🛛 Disability	🛛 Gender Reassignment
🛛 Marriage and Civil Partnership	Pregnancy/Maternity	🖾 Race
🛛 Religion or Belief	🖾 Sex	Sexual Orientation

2.3 Are there any gaps in the evidence base?

Where there are gaps in the evidence, or you don't have enough information about some equality groups, include an equality action to find out in section 4.2 below. This doesn't mean that you can't complete the assessment without the information, but you need to follow up the action and if necessary, review the assessment later. If you are unable to fill in the gaps, then state this clearly with a justification.

For workforce related proposals all relevant characteristics may not be included in HR diversity reporting (e.g. pregnancy/maternity). For smaller teams diversity data may be redacted. A high proportion of not known/not disclosed may require an action to address under-reporting.

Although our corporate approach is to collect diversity monitoring for all relevant characteristics, there are gaps in the available local diversity data for some characteristics, especially where this has not always historically been included in census and statutory reporting e.g. for sexual orientation. We also know there are some under-reporting gaps in our workforce diversity information - where personal and confidential information is voluntarily requested from staff.

Data about Special Guardians is available in relation to gender, age and demographic in which they live.

2.4 How have you involved communities and groups that could be affected?

You will nearly always need to involve and consult with internal and external stakeholders during your assessment. The extent of the engagement will depend on the nature of the proposal or change. This should usually include individuals and groups representing different relevant protected characteristics. Please include details of any completed engagement and consultation and how representative this had been of Bristol's diverse communities. See https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/equalities-groups.

Include the main findings of any engagement and consultation in Section 2.1 above.

If you are managing a workforce change process or restructure please refer to <u>Managing change or restructure</u> (<u>sharepoint.com</u>) for advice on consulting with employees etc. Relevant stakeholders for engagement about workforce changes may include e.g. staff-led groups and trades unions as well as affected staff.

We held a public Budget Consultation from Friday 5 November 2021 until Friday 17 December 2021. Alongside asking for views on different options for Council Tax next year, we shared some of the broad areas where we were looking at to reduce council spend to seek citizen's view. We made it clear in our communications that "We know we may need to consult with you about some of our more detailed saving proposals before we make any final decisions about them in future, and they may include difficult choices."

2.5 How will engagement with stakeholders continue?

Explain how you will continue to engage with stakeholders throughout the course of planning and delivery. Please describe where more engagement and consultation is required and set out how you intend to undertake it. Include any targeted work to seek the views of under-represented groups. If you do not intend to undertake it, please set out your justification. You can ask the Equality and Inclusion Team for help in targeting particular groups.

All responses to the Budget Consultation will be analysed and included in a report that will be published on the Bristol City Council website in early 2022. We will take Budget consultation responses into account when developing our final proposals to put to the Cabinet and a meeting of the Full Council for approval. The final decision will be taken by Full Council at its budget setting meeting in February 2022.

We have not yet consulted on this with our Special Guardians – however we will do this in a meaningful way so that their feedback can inform the review prior to any implementation of changes, and in a sensitive way so as limit any worries or anxiety.

Step 3: Who might the proposal impact?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered above, and the characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010. Also include details of existing issues for particular groups that you are aware of and are seeking to address or mitigate through this proposal. See detailed guidance documents for advice on identifying potential impacts etc. Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) (sharepoint.com)

3.1 Does the proposal have any potentially adverse impacts on people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Consider sub-categories (different kinds of disability, ethnic background etc.) and how people with combined characteristics (e.g. young women) might have particular needs or experience particular kinds of disadvantage.

Where mitigations indicate a follow-on action, include this in the 'Action Plan' Section 4.2 below.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many groups)

Even when we plan to consult in more detail on specific service delivery proposals at a later time, we must ensure that any budget setting decisions that are likely to affect future services are informed by sufficient consultation and proper analysis. This is so that decision makers can have due regard to any likely disproportionate or negative impact for citizens, service users or employees on the basis of their protected and other relevant characteristics at the time the budget is approved – not afterwards¹.

Decision makers will have the ability to make changes to the individual spending plans following further consultation as appropriate and detailed evaluation of the impact of specific proposals. Within the proposed budget envelope there will be financial mitigation put aside for any non-delivery or amendments to proposals which may occur due to future consideration of equalities issues or other factors.

As well as identifying whether budget changes will have a disproportionate impact on particular groups (e.g. because they are over-represented in a particular cohort of affected service users), we need to pay particular attention to the risk of indirect discrimination: when an apparently neutral decision puts members of a given group at a particular disadvantage compared with other people because of their different needs and circumstances.

Because the underlying reasons for the Council's budget deficit are very far reaching and likely to impact other public bodies and providers - we need to avoid making any assumptions that people's needs will still be met by other / external provision if we reduce or decommission our existing services.

We are also aware of existing structural inequalities and particular considerations, issues and disparities for people in Bristol based on their characteristics ,which we have taken into account in making this budget proposal (detailed below).

PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS			
Age: Young People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🛛 No 🗆		
Potential impacts:	 Children and Young people will be disproportionately affected because they are at the centre of Special Guardianship Order arrangements – however at this stage we do not anticipate negative impacts. Young people are often under-represented in engagement and consultation and in Bristol are less satisfied than average with the way the council runs things. Children and young people in Bristol are considerably more ethnically diverse than the overall population of Bristol. 		

¹ <u>Bristol judgment clarifies Councils' Budget consultation duties — The Consultation Institute</u>

	 Children and young people from the most deprived areas of Bristol have the poorest outcomes in health and education in terms of health, education and future employment etc. Young people in Bristol are more likely to: have poor emotional health and wellbeing find inaccessible public transport prevents them from leaving their home when they want to 6.8% of 16-17 year olds (2020/21) were "not in education, employment or training" (NEET) Young adults are most likely to have lost work or seen their income drop because of COVID-19
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Age: Older People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Potential impacts:	 Our data tells us that there is a higher proportion SGO carers who are over the age of 55. Older people in Bristol are: Less likely to be comfortable using digital services more reliant on public and community transport more likely to be an unpaid carer more likely to help out or volunteer in their community less likely to have formal qualifications Bristol Ageing Better says at least 11,000 older people are experiencing isolation in the city. We must factor aging and the needs of older people into long term budgeting
	and service design
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Disability	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Potential impacts:	 17% of Bristol's population are disabled. There are more disabled women than men living in Bristol. The UK Disability pay gap is 20% (2020) There is a disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on disabled people <u>The lived experience of disabled people during the COVID-19 pandemic - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u> Disabled people are less likely to be employed in a managerial or professional occupation 22% of disabled people aged over 16 are economically active in Bristol compared with 70% of the general population, and are more likely to work part time. Disability increases with age: 4.1% of all children, for the working age population it increases to 12.3% and for people aged 65 and over it increases to 55.9%. Disabled people on average have lower qualification levels than the population as a whole. A higher proportion of disabled people rent from a social provider (local authority or housing association) Disabled people experience higher rates of hate crime and domestic abuse compared to the general population Disabled people should be empowered to make independent living choices and a have a say in access to service provision. Budget setting needs to provide sufficient resource and flexibility to meet our legal duty to make anticipatory and responsive reasonable adjustments for disabled people including: changing the way things are done e.g. opening / working times; changes to overcome barriers created by the physical features of premises.

	 providing auxiliary aids e.g. extra equipment or a different or additional
	 service. is 'anticipatory' so we must think in advance and ongoing about what disabled people might reasonably need.
	 Disabled people must not be charged for their reasonable adjustments, accessible formats or other adaptations. It is a legal requirement under the Equalities Act to ensure information is accessible to disabled employees and service users.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Sex	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🗵 No 🗔
Potential impacts:	 Our data tells us that there is a higher proportion SGO carers who are women. Nationally the gender pay gap was 15.5% in 2020. This shows the relative pay gap between female and male employees and how the balance of pay is distributed in an organisation irrespective of equal pay for job roles. Women still bear the majority of caring responsibilities for both children and older relatives. Women are more likely to be excluded from conversations which affect decision making due to lack of representation in boards / organisational leadership. Services and workplace requirements may not take into consideration the impact of women's reproductive life course including menstruation, avoiding pregnancy, pregnancy, childbirth, breastfeeding, and menopause. Young women between the ages of 16 and 24 have higher risk of common mental health problems and higher rates of self-harm and post-traumatic stress disorder etc. Bristol female preventable mortality rates are significantly higher than the England rates Nationally 27% of women experience domestic abuse in their lifetimes. The rate of recorded domestic abuse incidents in Bristol has shown a significant rise over the last two years and 74% of victims were female. Men and boy's health is in general poorer than that of women and girl's Male life expectancy at birth in Bristol is around four years less than for females. On average men in Bristol live 18 years in poor health, women live 22 years in poor health A higher proportion of boys have physical impairments and more boys than girls have diagnosed mental health disorders and learning difficulties. Men in Bristol are more likely than women to have unhealthy lifestyle behaviours including being overweight and obese, smoking, alcohol and substance misuse There are differences between men and women in health practices and the way they use health services
	 Men are three times more likely than women to take their own lives.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Sexual orientation	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Potential impacts:	 Lesbian, gay and bisexual people are statistically more vulnerable to verbal and physical abuse 1 in 5 Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Trans (LGBT) staff have been the target of negative comments or conduct from work colleagues in the last year because they're LGBT.
	 More than a third of LGBT staff have hidden or disguised that they're LGBT at work in the last year because they were afraid of discrimination. 1 in 10 Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic LGBT staff have similarly been physically attacked because of their sexual orientation and /or gender identity, compared to 3% of White LGBT staff

	 One in four lesbian and bisexual women have experienced domestic abuse in a relationship, one third of them were abused by a man. Almost half of all gay and bisexual men have experienced at least one incident of domestic abuse from either a family member or a partner since the age of 16. Research shows LGBT people face widespread discrimination in healthcare settings and one in seven LGBT people avoid seeking healthcare for fear of discrimination from staff The Stonewall LGBT in Britain - Health Report shows LGBT people are at greater risk of marginalisation during health crises, and those with multiple marginalised identities can struggle even more. In communications we should signpost and refer where possible to mutual aid and community support networks². Research has shown that LGBT people are more likely to be living with long-term health conditions, are more likely to smoke, and have higher rates of drug and alcohol use. 	
	 Half of LGBT people experienced depression in the last year 14% of LGBT people have avoided treatment for fear of discrimination because 	
	they are LGBT.	
Mitigations:	See general comments above	
Pregnancy / Maternity	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
Potential impacts:	 The Equality Act 2010 applies to those who are pregnant or have given birth in the past 26 weeks, as well as making provisions to protect the rights of breastfeeding mothers. Around 80% of women will give birth and many women will also experience termination, miscarriage and stillbirth In the workplace we need to ensure equal access to recruitment, personal development, promotion and retention for employees who are pregnant or on maternity leave (including briefing and updates for any workforce changes) Ensure there is equality of opportunity for services in relation to pregnancy and maternity. This includes e.g. providing physical access when using prams and pushchairs, and availability of toilets and baby-changing facilities etc. , and flexible working patterns and service times for childcare arrangements Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic women more likely to experience complications at birth 	
Mitigations:	complications at birth See general comments above	
Gender reassignment	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \square No \square	
Potential impacts:	 As sexual orientation above Transgender people are statistically more vulnerable to verbal and physical abuse. Transgender people regularly face prejudice and discrimination because of the way in which they transgress many of the norms of our culture and society. 1 in 8 Trans people (12%) in the workplace have been physically attacked by customers or colleagues in the last year because they were Trans 	
Mitigations:	See general comments above	
Race	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
Potential impacts:	 Black, Asian and minority ethnic people are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19 Ethnic minorities in Bristol experience greater disadvantage than in England and Wales as a whole in education and employment and this is particularly so for Black African people². In the last census (2011) 16% of the population belonged to a Black, Asian or minority ethnic group and this is likely to be higher now. The top three countries of birth outside UK for Bristol residents are Poland, Somalia and India. 	

² CoDE Briefing Bristol v2.pdf (runnymedetrust.org)

	 Although the race or ethnicity pay gap has narrowed in recent years there are still wide pay differences between particular ethnic groups and most minority attacks are been and the White British means.
	 ethnic groups earn less on average than White British people. Bangladeshi, Pakistani, and Black ethnic groups are more likely to live in deprived neighbourhoods; and the same groups and Chinese ethnicities are about twice as likely to live on a low income and experience child poverty
	compared to White groups
	 Black, Asian and minority ethnic households are less likely to own their home and more likely to living in overcrowded housing and intergenerational households. Bangladeshi and Pakistani groups are more likely to live in multi- family households.
	Black people in the UK are less likely to hold a driving licence and more likely to
	rely on public transport.
	 Black Asian and minority ethnic groups in Bristol are more likely to find inaccessible public transport prevents them from leaving their home when they want to
	 Black African young people are disadvantaged in education compared to their White peers⁸. A disproportionately high percentage of Bristol school pupils from Black, Asian and minority ethnic backgrounds are excluded from school and In Bristol pupils with the lowest 'Attainment 8' scores are from Black ethnic background (highest from Chinese ethnic background.)
	 Organisations may lack cultural competence because Black, Asian and minority staff are under- represented.
	 People from Black African, Other, and Black Caribbean groups have persistently high levels of unemployment and almost all ethnic minority groups in Bristol
	experience employment inequality when compared to White British people.
	 Black Asian and minority ethnic groups are more likely to be self-employed than the Bristol average and over-represented in low income self-employment including taxis, takeaway restaurants
	• Black Asian and minority ethnic people are underrepresented in political and civic leadership.
	 People who do not speak English as a main language may require information in plain English and community language translations or videos etc.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Religion or Belief	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🔲 No 🖂
Potential impacts:	• There are at least 45 religions represented in Bristol. Approximately 1 in 20 people in Bristol are Muslims, and Islam is the second religion in Bristol after Christianity
	 Budget proposals should take into account differing needs because of people's religion and belief (for example different requirements around diet, life events, and holidays)
	Having a designated multi-faith room can make environments such as
	workplaces and shopping centres is more accessible and friendly for people from faith groups where regular prayer is required.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Marriage &	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No \Box
civil partnership	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	
OTHER RELEVANT CHAR	
Socio-Economic (deprivation)	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes 🖾 No 🗔
Potential impacts:	• Special Guardians may be living in low income household and experiencing deprivation. As part of the review we will seek to improve the extent to which Special Guardians claim any benefits that they are eligible for.
L	

	 Bristol has 41 areas in the most deprived 10% in England, including 3 in the most deprived 1%. The greatest levels of deprivation are in Hartcliffe & Withywood, Filwood and Lawrence Hill. In Bristol 15% of residents - 70,800 people - live in the 10% most deprived areas in England, including 19,000 children and 7,800 older people. 9.8% (approximately 19,572 households) of all households in Bristol are living in fuel poverty (BEIS, 2020) 4.2% of households have experienced moderate to severe food insecurity, rising to 13% in the most deprived areas of the city (QoL 2020-21) 25% of people in Bristol are dissatisfied with the way the Council runs things, but this is 43% for people living in the most deprived areas of the city (QoL 2020-21). The inequalities gap in life expectancy between the most and least deprived areas in Bristol is 9.6 years for men and 7.2 years for women. 		
Mitigations:	See general comments above		
Carers	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \boxtimes No \square		
Potential impacts:	 Carers will be disproportionately affected by Special Guardianship Order arrangements – however at this stage we do not anticipate negative impacts. Being a carer can be a huge barrier to accessing services and maintaining employment We need to consider the timing/availability of services, events etc. to allow flexibility for carers. As with Disability and Pregnancy and Maternity – policies which aim to restrict driving or parking can have a disproportionate impact on people who are reliant on having their own transport. Studies show around 65% of adults have provided unpaid care for a loved one. Women have a 50% likelihood of being an unpaid carer by the age of 46 (by age 57 for men) Young carers are often hidden and may not recognise themselves as carers_ 		
Mitigations:	See general comments above		
	additional rows below to detail the impact for other relevant groups as appropriate e.g.		
	ooked after Children / Care Leavers; Homelessness]		
Potential impacts:			
Mitigations:			

3.2 Does the proposal create any benefits for people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> to:

- ✓ Eliminate unlawful discrimination for a protected group
- ✓ Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't
- ✓ Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't

The current system can disadvantage Special Guardians who do not claim benefits that they are eligible for. The proposed change will ensure the systems is equitable and will align the Council with the regulations and national guidance in this area.

4.1 How has the equality impact assessment informed or changed the proposal?

What are the main conclusions of this assessment? Use this section to provide an overview of your findings. This summary can be included in decision pathway reports etc.

If you have identified any significant negative impacts which cannot be mitigated, provide a justification showing how the proposal is proportionate, necessary, and appropriate despite this.

Summary of significant negative impacts and how they can be mitigated or justified:

We have not identified any significant negative impact at this stage however we need to ensure that any changes after review do not have a disproportionate impact on any protected characteristics groups – we will mitigate this by ongoing equality impact assessment and engagement with SGO stakeholders **Summary of positive impacts / opportunities to promote the Public Sector Equality Duty:**

The proposed change will ensure the systems is equitable and will align the Council with the regulations and national guidance in this area.

4.2 Action Plan

Use this section to set out any actions you have identified to improve data, mitigate issues, or maximise opportunities etc. If an action is to meet the needs of a particular protected group please specify this.

Improvement / action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Phased plan approach, Stage 1, 2 and 3	Tara Parsons	3 years

4.3 How will the impact of your proposal and actions be measured?

How will you know if you have been successful? Once the activity has been implemented this equality impact assessment should be periodically reviewed to make sure your changes have been effective your approach is still appropriate.

The plan has 3 phases, 1 per year. The implementation of the plan will be initiated, then reviewed at 6 monthly intervals. The review will take into account any impact on families and consider protected characteristics within this. This information will be analysed and considered before the next phase is initiated.

Step 5: Review

The Equality and Inclusion Team need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your EqIA. EqIAs should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the equalities impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> before requesting sign off from your Director³.

Equality and Inclusion Team Review: Reviewed by Equality and Inclusion Team	Director Sign-Off: Ann James – Service Director, Children and Families
Date: 24/12/2021	Date: 24/12/2021

³ Review by the Equality and Inclusion Team confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely equality impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.